Due to shifts in the contexts of the production and presentation of the music video, more and more people start to talk about a possible end of this genre. At the same time disciplines such as visual-, film- and media-studies, art- and music-history begin to realize that they still lack a well defined and matching methodical approach for analyzing and discussing videoclips. For the first time this volume brings together different disciplines as well as journalists, museum curators and gallery owners in order to take a discussion of the past and present of the music video as an opportunity to reflect upon suited methodological approaches to this genre and to allow a glimpse into its future.

Henry Keazor (Prof. Dr.) has the Chair in Art History at Saarland University. Thorsten Wübbena (M.A.) works at the Art Historical Institute of Frankfurt University.

For further information:
www.transcript-verlag.de/ts1185/ts1185.php
Contents

Henry Keazor/Thorsten Wübbena | 7

REWIND: THE HISTORY, COLLECTING, AND RECEIPTION OF THE MUSIC VIDEO

“Don’t You Cry Tonight”.
Guns N’ Roses and the Music Video Folly
Saul Austerlitz | 35

The Genealogy of the Clip Culture
Thomas Schmitt | 41

Looking at Music
Barbara London | 59

“Pride and Prejudice”.
A Brief History of the Italian Music Video
Bruno Di Marino | 67

PLAY: THE METHODOLOGY OF ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Concerning the Transition of the Reception of the Music Video due to a Change in the Politics of Distribution of the Music Video- and the Music(-TV-)Market
Axel Schmidt/Klaus Neumann-Braun | 77

An Analysis of the Relation between Music and Image.
The Contribution of Michel Gondry
Giulia Gabrielli | 89
Rewind – Play – Fast Forward
The Past, Present and Future of the Music Video:
Introduction

Henry Keazor/Thorsten Wübben

“Art presses the “Stop”- and “Rewind”- buttons in the stream of life: It makes time stop. It offers reflection and re-collection, it is an antidote against lost certitudes.”¹

Like perhaps no other medium, the music video clip is marking and shaping our everyday culture: film, art, literature, advertisements – they all are clearly under the impact of the music video in their aesthetics, their technical procedures, visual worlds or narrative strategies. The reason for this has not only to be sought in the fact that some of the video directors are now venturing into art or advertisement, but that also people not working in the field of producing video clips are indebted to this medium.² Thus, more or less former video clip-directors such as Chris Cunningham or Jonas Åkerlund have established themselves successfully with their creations which very often are based on ideas and concepts, originally developed for earlier music videos: both Cunningham’s works Flex and Monkey Drummer, commissioned in 2000 respectively 2001 by the Anthony d’Offay Gallery, evolved out of his earlier music videos.³ Flex relies on the fantastic and weightless underwater cosmos Cunningham designed for the images that accompanied Portishead’s Only you in 1998. Monkey Drummer⁴ is heavily based on the soundtrack written by the Irish musician Aphex Twin (Richard David James) for whom Cunningham had previously directed famous videos such as Come to Daddy (1997) and Windowlicker (1999). Åkerlund, on the other hand, made an even more direct recourse to his earlier music video. His film, Turn the Page, presented in 2004 at the Schirn in Frankfurt in the context of the short-film exhibition 3-minutes, uses exclusively footage he had shot six years earlier for the
video clip, accompanying the song *Turn the Page* by the band Metallica (which also is used as a soundtrack for the film).\(^5\)

In the field of literature not only directors such as Chris Cunningham have served as models for characters in novels like William Gibson’s 2003 novel *Pattern Recognition* in which the clip of a fictitious music video-director who puts “robot girls in his video”\(^6\) is characterized by the following words: “No sci-fi kitsch for Damien. Dreamlike things in the dawn half-light, their small breasts gleaming, white plastic shining faints as old marble”\(^7\) (this a clear reference to Cunningham’s music video for Björk’s *All is Full of Love*, directed in 1999: fig. 1).\(^8\)

**Fig. 1: Still from the music video by Chris Cunningham:**  
*Björk, All is Full of Love, 1999*  

But also narrative structures and devices are taken over from the music video. For example, the writer Jasper Fforde (who had previously worked as a cameraman) was obviously inspired by a music video when he endowed its heroine Thursday Next\(^9\) with the capacity to read and thus insert herself physically into the context and the setting of any given book and to thus allow its plot to feature abrupt changes in places, times and contexts – exactly as seen in the famous clip shot by John Landis for Michael Jackson’s song *Black or White* in 1991 where the singer also abruptly changes from one cultural and narrative setting to the other.\(^10\) And as a hint that his novel also deals with the history of pop- and rock music, Fforde equips Thursday Next with a car that is more or less identical with Janis Joplin’s famous Porsche 356c Cabriolet (figs. 2 & 3).\(^11\)
It may sound strange to state that the music video is also influencing advertisements, given that a video clip is more or less an advertisement itself, but the influence is increasingly evident. In 2006, for example, an advertisement for the computer company Apple (fig. 4, left) stirred pop music fans and music journalists, as well as the general press because the advertisement relied on images which were nothing more than a remake of a music video produced three years earlier for the song *Such Great Heights* by the pop group The Postal Service (fig. 4, right).\(^{12}\)

*Fig. 4: Comparison of stills taken from an “Apple”-advertisement by Josh (Melnik) and Xander (Charity), 2006 (left) and from their music video for The Postal Service, Such Great Heights, 2003 (right)*
Likewise, a recent advertisement for the candy mint “Tic Tac” is heavily indebted to Spike Jonze’s groundbreaking music video for Fatboy Slim’s track *Weapon of Choice* from 2001. Both the commercial and the music video feature a tired salesman (fig. 5 & 6), sitting in an armchair in a hotel lobby, next to a trolley with cleaning products and a radio (fig. 7 & 8). Whereas the salesman in Spike Jonze’s video hears Fatboy Slim’s music coming from the radio and is incited to dance, the salesman in the “Tic Tac” commercial requires the additional help of a slim blonde who shakes a box of “Tic Tacs”.
The possibility that the means of a music video could be instead used one day as a vehicle for election campaigns was already envisioned in 1992 by director/actor Tim Robbins who in his satire *Bob Roberts* plays a homonymous singing conservative politician whose revisionist approach is – among others – underlined by his adaptation of Don Alan Pennebaker’s legendary (and for the music video: highly influential) film sequence for Bob Dylan’s *Subterranean Homesick Blues* from 1965. The content of Dylan’s skeptical and liberal approach is not only reversed by Roberts into its exact opposite by substituting the title of Dylan’s album *The Times They Are A-Changin’* (1964) against the cynical “Times are Changin’ Back”, or by replacing the lyrics on Dylan’s famous cue cards (fig. 9) with slogans such as “By any means necessary, make millions”, but also by exchanging the famous bystanders in Pennebaker’s clip – among them the pop poet Allen Ginsberg (fig. 9) – with two bankers and sexy dancers (fig. 10). That this political use of music videos as a – in the end: very successful – part of an election campaign is not just an exception, but a consequently followed strategy becomes even clearer in the course of the film when Roberts again relies on this medium in order to also advertise his patriotism.
Interestingly, in “real life” (as opposed to the reality depicted in a film) it was rather in the politically opposed party of the democrats that video clips were used as part of the recent election campaign in America: famous sympathizers of Barack Obama (such as, among others, the actress Scarlett Johansson, the singers Nicole Scherzinger and John Legend and the musician Herbie Hancock) had interpreted his now famous “Yes, we can”-speech in February 2008 under the direction of Will. I. Am (from the group Black Eyed Peas) and Jesse Dylan (the son of Bob Dylan) in the form of a music video in order to promote the candidate (fig. 11).

In three short days, the video garnered more than a million views on YouTube and 10 million on the host site, yeswecan.dipdive.com, and was even awarded an Emmy in June 2008 in the new category “Best New Approaches in Daytime Entertainment”.14

To finish our short survey of forms indebted to the music video, we want to briefly mention the cinema where the style, narration and the technical means, developed in the field of the music video have had a great impact – be it that movie directors, obviously impressed by the music video, have adapted for example its imagery, editing or pace (as just one early
example one could refer to Tony Scott’s film *Top Gun* from 1986), or that directors of music videos were and are shifting to film making, thus bringing with them and importing some of the hallmarks of the music video into the cinema. One can think here about directors such as David Fincher, Mark Romanek, Michel Gondry or the already mentioned Spike Jonze. But such a shift from music advertisement to film already happened in the 60s when directors such as Claude Lelouch, Francis Ford Coppola or Robert Altman first learned the filmic ropes by shooting so-called “Scopitones”, more or less direct antecedents of the music video, and then changed over to the cinema of the Nouvelle Vague without forgetting what they had learned while making the musical short films.16

But exactly such a change from helming a music video to directing a film now symptomizes a crisis in which the video clip finds itself after years of both financial and aesthetic prosperity (for which the notorious 7 million dollars reportedly paid for Mark Romanek’s video for Michael and Janet Jackson’s song *Scream* in 1995 is perhaps the most incisive example).17 Due to economic declines over the past years the record companies have invested increasingly less money into the production of music videos while in turn their way of presentation has also drastically changed. Whereas music videos were once shown on television on music channels such as MTV18, the Internet, cellphones and other emerging platforms with their, however, reduced quality considering vision and sound, have overtaken the market. Thus, already in June 2000 Mark Cohn and Ken Martin (under the name “The Broad Band”) mocked the former hymn of the music video, the song “*Video Killed the Radio Star*” by The Buggles, chosen by MTV on the 1st August 1981 in order to inaugurate and hail its airplay, by sneering: *Internet Killed the Video Star.*19

Since that time, the authors of articles, blogs and books have asked time and again whether or not the music video is dead (fig. 12).

*Fig. 12: Screenshot from website forum http://videos.antville.org/ (15 July 2006)*

More often than not, the answer has been: yes.
Nevertheless it has to be asked if this answer is not perhaps premature and at least moot: while one might not want to argue with the viewpoint that the music video in its up to now familiar and known form might have started to cease to exist, one might however ask if perhaps it will just continue to exist in another, new form. “Music TV was yesterday. Today, you best watch music videos together with friends late in the evening, tightly pressed together in front of the computer screen – or on the big movie screen”, the journalist Sarah Stähli writes in her introduction to the section Sound & Stories of the 45th Solothurn Film Festival 2010 in which the best Swiss music videos were presented and awarded.

Moreover, it can be read as a sign of enduring sturdiness that the music video furthermore tries to come at hands with the factors threatening it instead of blindly continuing to do its business as usual. This might be eased by the fact that it was in the genre of the music video in the first place that the idea of an online presence of a musical star was conceived and visualized: In Paul Hunter’s clip for Jennifer Lopez’ song If You Had My Love, released in May 1999, the singer was presented as a sort of a “belle captive” (as one could put it by quoting the title of a novel by Alain Robbe-Grillet), because she seems to be confined to a series of white, clinical rooms where everything is under observation. While she performs her song, cameras, controlled by online viewers, are following her movements, broadcasting them to different locations such as a garage, a call center, private homes, a dance hall etc. where J.Lo’s performance is followed on TV- and computer-screens by her audience. That Lopez is indeed more or less the marionette of an interactive display, becomes evident when a user out of given menu chooses to view the lyrics sung by her or selects a certain dance style she then has to perform during her song – or when he chooses to see her taking a shower.

Of course, the reality as it was viewable on Internet TV and from February 2005 on at the YouTube-platform was much less glamorous and stylish than the surroundings of J.Lo, but it went more or less into the same direction.

In any case, the genre of the video clip nowadays does not ignore the YouTube-phenomenon, but instead tries to benefit from it, as two briefly discussed examples, both produced in Spring 2008, show.

In May 2008 the band Weezer, the 1995 winners of four MTV-music video awards for the video directed by Spike Jonze for their song Buddy Holly, released a clip for their latest single “Pork and Beans”. It is not by chance that this video did premiere not on MTV or any other TV-channel, but on YouTube. This was appropriate insofar as the video features many people made famous by YouTube and the Internet, some of them not even known by their proper names but being characterized rather by their activities on YouTube such as “catching Raybans with one’s face”, the “Free Hugs Campaign”, the “Dancing Banana”, “Diet Coke and Mentos eruptions” or “Will it blend” (the latter two featuring phony records and experi-
ments). Partially chosen by the members of Weezer, each of whom picked their favorites, all these people were contacted by the director of the clip, Mathew Cullen, after which they were flown over to Los Angeles for the four-day shoot. Whereas an episode of the cartoon series *South Park* had previously rather mocked these celebrities, Cullen followed the path indicated by the music video for the Canadian rock band The Barenaked Ladies, who in the clip for their song *Sound of Your Voice* from February 2007 have also replaced their own appearance with the presentation of several YouTube-celebrities, lip-synch the song and pursue their own original and inventive activities.

While Cullen declared his clip to be a “celebration of that creativity”, it is quite obvious that the video – by featuring YouTube-celebrities – was also trying hard to generate greater attention by the YouTube-audience as well as by other media which are carefully and/or distrustfully observing YouTube and its cult and culture. The strategy was successful. The video had over 1.2 million views in its first 24 hours on YouTube and after only four days 3.6 million people had watched it. The video quickly attained the status of being the most watched video on the Internet during those days – a success which recalls the time when John Landis’ groundbreaking video for Michael Jackson’s *Thriller* was announced and broadcasted on MTV and broke records with respect to audience ratings.

The other example comes from Germany: Also in Spring, in April 2008, the German band Wir sind Helden released a video to accompany the single *Die Konkurrenz*. Instead of hiring a director, the band had posted a notice on their website the February before, which encouraged their audience to shoot their own video to suit the song and to hand it in. Arguing that self made things are somehow nicer, the band invited their fans under the title “Mehr Wettbewerb mit der Konkurrenz” to let their creativity flow (the title, in English meaning “More competition with the concurrence”, already indicates the conceptual nature of the approach: videos were invited to compete with one another in order to accompany a song on “concurrence”). Whether reference was made to the lyrics of the song or not was irrelevant – on their website the band just stated that everything, except naked women and helicopters, was welcome. Out of the many submissions received, rather than choose the best video, the band took just the best scenes from the various entries and then edited them into a music video for their song.

The final result is not only interesting insofar as it combines heterogeneous material, reminiscent of Weezer’s *Pork and Beans*, but also because of the way this material was framed and presented. On the one hand the small screen space, featuring the clickable “Play”-icon, with below the control device (fig. 13) clearly makes reference to the typical YouTube-appearance (fig. 14): thus, despite the fact that the shown snippets were not taken from YouTube, the video ironically present itself as a typical YouTube-video.
But the self-made character of the whole screen does not look like something from the Internet, but rather like something tinkered out of roughly cut and painted cardboard in order to just artlessly simulate a typical YouTube-screen. It is interesting to state that this look was not conceived originally by Wir sind Helden themselves but that this, too, is – so to speak – second hand, which means: borrowed from somewhere else. The correct term in order to describe the appearance of the child-like, rough style would be certainly “sweded”, because this is the word coined by Jerry, the protagonist of Michel Gondry’s feature film Be Kind – Rewind (2008). Faced with the dilemma of having accidentally erased the entire collection of his friend Mike’s video-rental-store, Jerry decides to re-make the lost films with the simplest means and with him and his friends as the actors, taking on roles such as characters from Rambo, The Lion King, Rush Hour, Ghostbusters, When We Were Kings, Driving Miss Daisy and Robocop – with charmingly amateur results which, surprisingly, meet the taste of the customers of the store who request more and more movie remakes of that kind. Since Jerry, in order to explain his source for these films, claims that they are coming from Sweden (thus also justifying the long waiting times and especially the high prices he asks for these European “imports”), the redone films are quickly branded as “sweded”. It is exactly this “sweded” look of the rough and handcrafted tinkering that has successfully proven itself, appearing not only as part of such a re-modeled YouTube-screen (fig. 15), but, apart from the Wir sind Helden-clip, even in advertisements for TV Soap Operas.
However, it is important to remember that the director of *Be Kind* was and still is a music video director and that he had designed and developed the “sweded” aesthetics already in the context of his earlier music videos (such as the video for *Walkie Talkie Man* by the band Steriogram, shot in Spring 2004). While the rough reproduction of things in the video such as helicopters, a recording studio, musical instruments and cars (fig. 16) gives the clip a wild and funny appearance, Gondry sanctioned this look in the context of his feature film as due to the modest means Jerry and his friends have at their disposition when trying to re-make a Hollywood blockbuster.

At the same time, this is in a certain way a comment Gondry also makes on the genre of the music video itself which was often considered as the cheap little sister of the big, expensive Hollywood blockbuster. It is perhaps also due to this parallel that Jerry’s “sweded” films in *Be Kind* have more or less the same duration like a music video, that is of around 3 minutes in which the content of a whole film – as sometimes also in the
case of a music video – is condensed, and that this short, cheap, “sweded” versions, however, are then more appreciated by the customers than the long, expensive Hollywood-originals (author Matt Hanson’s words “Music video has become meta-cinema” come to the mind).30

One could continue this thread even by putting Jerry’s cheaply looking “sweded” films into relation to the current video clips which have also lost their once sometimes blockbuster-like budgets and have now to try to also charm the audience with most modest means – this not only by making recourse to the humble YouTube-style31, but also by coming up with clever ideas. One can here directly refer to the example of the most recent endeavour of the Los Angeles band OK Go: In a music video (directed by James Frost, the band itself in cooperation with Syyn Labs32 and released in March 2010) for their song This Too Shall Pass33, they tried to set something against Hollywood and its costly digital effects by putting their credo “Back to the mechanical”34 into visual action. With the intention “to create cool stuff which you can see is also really real”35, they designed together with their collaborators a machine, displayed over the two storeys of a warehouse and involving “more than 700 household objects, from flying rat traps to a plummeting piano” into a frenetic chain reaction, triggered by a toy lorry, then going on continuously for four minutes (the song’s length) and finding its climax in a series of paint-loaded canons, being fired off against the four band members. The result, as journalist John Harlow concludes: “Even by the overheated standards of the internet, the success of the (...) video (...) has been extraordinary. In its first few days of release on the web, it has attracted more than 8.7m viewers.”36

Interestingly, with this approach OK Go in a certain way did nothing else than to repeat the earlier success of a very similar video which, although conceived as an advertisement for a car, was nevertheless directed in 2003 by the renowned music video director Antoine Bardou-Jacquet.37 In this advertisement, Bardou-Jacquet staged a kind of “Ballet Mécanique” by combining components of the then new Honda Accord to a very similar, complicated 1:45 minute chain reaction which in the end did lead to nothing else than the closing of the car’s trunk which, eventually, did made the car roll from a tilting platform – an action accompanied by an off-voice asking “Isn’t it nice when things just work?”38 Given the meticulous interaction of the isolated car parts, starting with a small, single, rolling cog, and ending with the entire rolling car, the choreography of the components suggests an assembly belt which in the end launches the sum of all these interacting pieces, the finished car.

The short film has become a huge success on YouTube where it is often presented under the catch-name “Honda – The Cog”. So it seems as if – again – a YouTube-success would have triggered the inspiration for another, latest YouTube-success. However, as Thomas Elsaesser has recently emphasized, the Honda-advertisement itself is heavily indebted to a forerunner:39 In 1987 the artist duo (Peter) Fischli & (David) Weiss presented a 30 minute video at the Kassel documenta 8 under the title Der Lauf der
Dinge (“The Way Things Go”) which did mark their international break-through. As in the case of the Honda-advertisement, it shows a chain reaction of different items, put together in a 70-100 feet long structure inside a warehouse, but while the Honda-advertisement relies mainly on mechanical interaction, Fischli & Weiss also included chemical procedures which often enhance the suspense because the viewer has to wait for them to take place and to have their effect, so that there is always the uncertainty if the process is successfully continuing or if it will get interrupted. According to some sources the artist duo tried to sue the Honda-company because they considered the advertisement as a plagiarism, but it certainly would have been very difficult to prove this, given that Der Lauf der Dinge itself could be put into a long line of similar, earlier conceptions: Not only did director Richard Donner (who has a weak spot for such chain-reacting ballets – see for example also the beginning of his 1983 movie Superman III) already in 1985 include a scene into his movie The Goonies where a simple door-opener is conceived as a chain-reacting contraption, but the entire concept can be traced back to the idea of Reuben Lucius Goldberg (mainly known today as Rube Goldberg: 1883-1970), a Jewish American sculptor, author, engineer, inventor, and cartoonist, who is today famous for exactly the invention of complex, chain-reaction driven devices that perform astonishingly simple tasks in most complicated and convoluted ways (in his comics Goldberg from 1934 on made his character “Professor Lucifer Gorgonzola Butts” invent and build such machines) – thus his name became the epitome for these “Rube Goldberg machines”.

To return to the OK Go-video and his ancestors: They are not only linked by the basic concept of such a “Rube Goldberg machine”, being each time at the center of the films, but, especially in the case of the Fischli & Weiss-project and the OK Go-video, also by the underlying bias of the works. Adapting Elsaesser’s word, originally addressed to a comparison of the Fischli & Weiss-video with the Honda-advertisement, but actually even fitting better concerning the OK Go-clip, one can state: “(...) in both works, one notes a studied anachronism, a retrospective temporal deferral at work. (...) Fischli & Weiss produced their tape around the time when artists were seriously considering their response to the new media technologies of video compositing and digital editing. Their work is clearly a manifesto in favor of materiality and indexicality, an ironic middle finger stuck in the face of the digital to come, and taking their stand in the heated debate about the loss of indexicality in the post-photographic age.” Exactly such an emphasis and celebration of the “visible, tangible world” and the insistence “on a linear causality vanishing in the media” (as opposed for example to Lady Gaga-clips), can be also observed in the OK Go-video.

All this shows that the music video continues to do what it used to do for decades: to look for all kinds of possible inspiration, to try to do something new with it and to thus inspire itself as well as other media forms.
However, the signs of its crisis can’t be denied either – it is perhaps not wonder that discussions about the music video very often feature the words “rethinking“ and “reinventing“. As two examples among many others we’d like to refer first to the book published by Matt Hanson in 2006, titled *Reinventing Music Video – Next Generation Directors*. Second we want to mention the Swedish designer Jakob Trollbäck who, in March 2007, delivered a talk with the title “Rethinking the music video” in the context of the TED-conferences (the letters standing for “Technology, Entertainment, Design”, a series of talks started in 1984 where people from these three areas come together).43

It is, however, interesting to see that both the book published by Hanson as well as the talk given by Trollbäck repeat rather than advance history: “Re-thinking“ resp. “re-inventing“ in their case thus takes on more the colors of an approach where already existing things of the past are taken up and thought through or invented again.44 Trollbäck’s claim for example to have created a music video for Brian Eno’s and David Byrne’s 1981 song *Moonlight in Glory*45 that is more directed by the music than driven by a filmmaker’s concept and which thus achieves “to show purely the expression of a great song”46 (fig. 17), in our view ultimately leads to nothing more than a rather tame and even not as original renewal of older videos which already showed the lyrics of a song while trying to translate its music into abstract patterns of light and colors (the most advanced masterpiece here is perhaps Bill Konersman’s clip for *Sign ‘O’ the Times* by Prince, produced in 1987: fig. 18).47

Especially in Trollbäck’s case the well-known phrase “If you don’t know history, you are doomed to repeat it” springs to mind. The unconscious orientation on the past (since it seems that Trollbäck’s claim to have created such a pioneering video is only possible because he apparently doesn’t know about the Prince-clip) touches upon another important aspect of the crisis of the music video: it’s historic dimension.

Apart from the fact that books such as the one by Hanson or endeavours such as the one by Trollbäck are simply repeating history, the current crises shows clear parallels to a crisis which had previously beset the
music video in the early 90’s. Just like today it was of a financial as well as consecutively aesthetical nature, and already then some heralded this as the end of the music video while others saw it as a healthy process which would further allow only “good” musicians to have likewise “good” music videos (as opposed to the prior situation where, as the music video director Rudi Dolezal did put it, “there was a video clip for every idiotic band”).48

This shows, however, that the music video has not one, but several histories49, which are separated by ruptures, breaks, endings and starting points. Therefore, what we are witnessing now may not be the symptoms of an irretrievable end, but rather a point where the clip – once again – begins to change, differentiate, evolve into something new.

This view is confirmed if we take a look at other histories of the music video in which the antecedents of the form did not tie into each other, but followed each other paratactically. For example, the early “Phonoscènes”, which after 1907 were produced with a certain routine and exhibited a refined correlation between the music, the lyrics and the images (see the article by Thomas Schmitt in this volume) did not directly lead into the “Soundies” of the 40s and 50s. Jazz musicians often did star in these short films which also and again presented a quite elaborated narrative in order to interpret the music, its sections and structures. Their sometimes very artful visual style actually did anticipate already some of the stylistic features which were used later in the 70s during the rise of the music video (Gjon Mili’s and Norman Granz’s film for Jammin’ the Blues from 1944 for example anticipates with its optical multiplication of a single musician some moments of Bruce Gowers’ famous music video for Queen’s Bohemian Rhapsody, made in 1975: figs. 19 & 20).50

The same happened concerning the “Panoram Jukebox” and the subsequent “Scopitones” whose directors didn’t rely on the aesthetic achievements of the Soundies, but started afresh instead. This was also perhaps due to the fact that the “Scopitone”, which started to spread in the early 60s, was considered a technical improvement over the “Panoram” when the latter fell out of fashion due to the Second World War. The user of the “Panoram” didn’t have any choice to view a particular film, but had
to watch a sequence of black and white films as they were edited together (much in the same way the audience of MTV later had to watch the sequence of clips chosen by the producers of the show or dictated by the charts). Instead, the user of a “Scopitone” could directly pick a particular film (much in the same way the Internet currently allows) which was, moreover, in color. Such features made the “Scopitone” a rival of black and white television, which was dominant at the time. Following the decline of the Scopitones, the first directors of music videos didn’t use and view the “Scopitones” in order to learn from what had already been achieved there in terms of visual styles and the possible linking of music, words and images, but rather started afresh (the “Scopitones” were actually only re-discovered much later and then first rather as collector’s items).

Given that the histories and pre-histories of the music videos stretch far further back than 1981 when MTV appeared (see already the fact that MTV had to rely upon earlier shot clips in order to fill its program), the consensus is that the beginning of the genre must be sought much earlier. The debate remains open regarding how far one should go back when seeking the earliest ancestor, however. As we suggested earlier, short films made for and with music had been already produced around 1900, and it seems that one origin can be seen in the way Thomas Alva Edison had devised his “Kinetophone” in 1891 (fig. 21) – we say deliberately “devised” because actually the technical realization wasn’t up to Edison, who only dreamed about the possibility of sitting comfortably in an armchair at home while following a performance at an opera house in sight and sound.

Fig. 21: Thomas Alva Edison’s “Kinetophone”, 1895
In fact, this vision really describes what the television set would make possible later. But Edison’s dream was more about making a performance accessible to the home viewer (much in the way, DVDs with a “Live on stage”-film do this), while a music video doesn’t limit itself to this, even though it was and is clearly and mainly designed to work as a substitute for such a live performance (already the pop group ABBA in the middle of the 70s deliberately used their music videos in such a way because one band-member loathed big international tours – so the group came up with so called “promo films” and later music videos; thanks to them the fans were recompensed for this lack of live presence by, for example, granting them visual access into the studio while the band records a song, or by even giving them glimpses inside the everyday life and the emotional relationships of the group members). But already this example shows that a music video didn’t and doesn’t limit itself to just record a live performance, but that it emancipates itself from this very tight context and instead comes up with a style which – in its best moments – aims at interpreting the music on a visual level. Thus, a second element has to be considered when dealing with music videos: the fact that music here receives a visual and reproducible interpretation. It is exactly this point of the possibility to exactly reproduce the always same performance that distinguishes the music video from the opera while the fact that the interpretation of music in a music video can be freed from any narrative also discerns the video clip from forms such as the musical. A possible candidate as one of the first antecedents for such a reproducible performance can be seen in Philippe Jacques de Loutherbourg’s “Eidophusikon” (fig. 22), a small picture theatre, introduced in 1781, where mainly non-narrative phenomena of nature such as storms and waterfalls were mounted on a small stage.

Fig. 22: Edward Francis Burney, Watercolor-Drawing of Philippe Jacques de Loutherbourg’s “Eidophusikon“ (London, British Museum, ca. 1782)
Given that the theatre was exclusively mechanical, the phenomena could be reproduced the same way continuously. Since music and sounds were an essential part of the whole show (and film director Werner Nekes even claims that no other than famous composer Johann Sebastian Bach’s youngest son, Johann Christian, composed the music for these performances), one can agree with those authors who call the “Eidophusikon” the most significant multi media picture theatre of the 18th century.

With this research for one of the earliest antecedents and forerunners of the music video we have, at the same time, already deeply stepped into the question what actually characterizes and defines a music video, and this leads further to the question about the methods we have at our hands in order to analyze the music videos. Because only an approach which has previously reflected upon the characteristics, elements, ingredients and components of a music video will also be able to accordingly analyze it and to appreciate all its single levels and parameters as well as their mutual relationship and interaction.

Whereas earlier research has focused entirely on the images (given that music videos were considered to be nothing else than a derivate of the cinema) and neglected the music and especially the lyrics, more recent attempts have attempted to encompass all the different factors composing and concerning a music video. Apart from the images themselves, these include the music, the lyrics, the whole context of the particular song and video such as the album, and the image of the musician or band, as it has been shaped also by former videos etc. By comparing the results of such a fully formed research with the analysis of other, especially earlier videos and their predecessors, we can better understand not only the past of the music video as a genre as well as its changes and developments, but also its present and – perhaps even –its future. This all the more since one might ask not only questions about which specific histories to deal with, but also with which versions of the present, given that the genre of the music video finds itself at different points of development in each country.

This volume thus tries to tackle all the three time parameters – the past, the present and the future of the music video (which, as we have tried to show, are deeply interwoven), as well as the geographical aspect.

Thus, Part one (“Rewind”) deals with the past by first looking back to the Golden Era of the American music video (Saul Austerlitz), the history of the antecedents of the music video in France (Thomas Schmitt), the close relationship between visual arts and short music films between the 60s and the 80s (Barbara London) and the history of the Italian music video and the awareness and use of this history among contemporary Italian directors (Bruno di Marino).

This awareness brings us into the present (“Play”) where we will have a closer look at the contemporary reception of the music video (Klaus Neumann-Braun and Axel Schmidt), before raising questions concerning the methods in the analysis and interpretation of the music video (Giulia
Gabrielli, Matthias Weiß). This leads to issues concerning the actual state of the music video as an aesthetic medium (Paolo Peverini, Laura Frahm) and to the problem how to address and tackle this medium properly in the future (Christoph Jacke).

The opening of the music video towards other media-forms (Holger and Cornelia Lund) and protagonists (such as artists making video clips: Antje Krause-Wahl) brings us to the question about the future (“Fast Forward”) of the music video: Will YouTube resurrect the music video (Gianni Sibilla, Carol Vernallis) or will it experience completely different transformations (Christian Jegl and Kathrin Wetzel)?

The articles published in this volume, with the exception of Antje Krause-Wahl’s essay, are based on papers given during an international and interdisciplinary symposium, which was organized by the editors and held in October 2008 at the Goethe-University Frankfurt/Main. The conference was financially supported by the Volkswagen-Stiftung, the Vereinigung von Freunden und Förderern der Goethe-Universität, the Stiftung zur Förderung der internationalen wissenschaftlichen Beziehungen der Goethe-Universität, the Fazit-Stiftung and the Mainova. Without their support, this publication would have been impossible, so we would like to thank these institutions once again.

The Richard Stury Stiftung in Munich most generously supported this publication, and we would like to thank its chairman, Dr. Helmut Heß, as well as Dr. Friederike Wille (Frankfurt/Main); our thanks also go to the Coneda UG (Frankfurt/Main) for its friendly support.

Finally, we would like to thank our translators, Eva Ehninger (Frankfurt/Main) and Steven Lindberg (Berlin), but especially Anthony Metivier (Berlin) who not only corrected and revised the texts linguistically, but who also, with his stimulating questions and suggestions, helped to improve them concerning their content.

Henry Keazor/Thorsten Wühbena, Saarbrücken and Frankfurt/Main, August 2010

Note
The text by Klaus Neumann-Braun and Axel Schmidt has been translated by Henry Keazor, the text by Matthias Weiß has been translated by Eva Ehninger, the texts by Laura Frahm and Christoph Jacke have been translated by Steven Lindberg.
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